

correct copies of all executed process, pleadings and orders, and a copy of *Defendant's Original Answer*. Attached hereto as Exhibit "C" is the Designation of Counsel.

Basis for Removal

3. Removal is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1332(a)(1). There is complete diversity of citizenship.

4. Plaintiffs are, and were at the time the lawsuit was filed, citizens of the State of Texas. *See* Plaintiff's Original Petition, Section 2.1.

5. Defendant Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business in Illinois and is a citizen of the State of Illinois for diversity purposes.

6. In determining the amount in controversy, the court may consider "policy limits... penalties, statutory damages, and punitive damages." *St. Paul Reinsurance Co., Ltd v. Greenberg*, 134 F.3d 1250, 1253 (5th Cir. 1998); *see Ray v. State Farm Lloyds*, No. CIV.A.3:98-CV-1288-G, 1999 WL 151667, at * 2-*3 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 10, 1999) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy in plaintiff's case against their insurance company for breach of contract, fraud, negligence, gross negligence, bad faith, violations of the Texas Insurance Code, violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and mental anguish); *Fairmont Travel, Inc. v. George S. May Int'l Co., et. al.*, 75 F.Supp.2d 666, 668 (S.D. Tex. 1999) (considering DTPA claims and the potential for recovery of punitive damages for the amount in controversy determination); *Chittick v. Farmers Insurance Exchange*, 844 F.Supp. 1153, 1155 (S.D. Tex. 1994) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy after considering the nature of the claims, the types of damages sought and the presumed net worth of the defendant in a claim brought by the insureds against their insurance company for actual and punitive damages arising from a claim they made for roof damages).

7. The amount in controversy in this case exceeds the jurisdictional requirements of this court. Plaintiffs' Original Petition clearly states that Plaintiffs seek damages in excess of \$100,000.00. *See* Plaintiffs' Original Petition, Section 9.1. This evidence clearly demonstrates that the amount in controversy in this case exceeds the jurisdictional requirements.

The Removal is Procedurally Correct

8. Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company was first served with the petition on May 13, 2016. Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company files this Notice of Removal within the 30-day time period required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(b).

9. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) because this district and division embrace the place in which the removed action has been pending and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the Plaintiffs' claims allegedly occurred in this district.

10. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), all pleadings, process, orders, and all other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice.

11. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), promptly after Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company files this Notice, written notice of the filing will be given to Plaintiffs, the adverse party.

12. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), a true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of Harris County promptly after Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company files this Notice.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jay Scott Simon

Jay Scott Simon
Attorney-in-Charge
State Bar No. 24008040
Southern District No. 31422
jsimon@thompsoncoe.com
THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.
One Riverway, Suite 1400
Houston, Texas 77056
Telephone: (713) 403-8210
Telecopy: (713) 403-8299

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was duly served pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on counsel for Plaintiff, Jule Adcock, by and through his attorney of record, Jesse S. Corona, The Corona Law Firm, PLLC, 521 N Sam Houston Parkway E, Suite 420, Houston, Texas 77060, on the 13th day of June, 2016.

/s/ Jay Scott Simon

Jay Scott Simon